

RESPONSES TO PUBLIC CONSULTATION

NAME REF.	COMMENTS/ SUGGESTIONS	OFFICER RESPONSE
1	<p><b>Objection</b> - I would like to reiterate again that I am totally opposed to this proposal. Parking is already a nightmare and adding these restrictions, would only make matters considerably worse. We are already competing with the homes of the new estate to get a parking space and this would reduce the spaces available. I have actually had to park in the area of road in question myself this evening as there is absolutely no other space on the road, from one end to the other. Unlike others, the 4 original cottages do not have the luxury of a drive way, an allocated parking space or visitors bay. I would like the council to support us to find a workable solution to all the problems of parking, volume of traffic and speed and this recent proposal, would solve none of these.</p>	<p>A separate exercise is underway with the aim of providing off road parking for the cottages. The proposed restrictions should not make things any worse for the cottages, and will avoid the risk of the transfer of parking to the north side of the road</p>
2	<p><b>Support with reservations</b> - It is clear that there are 2 motoring problems with Catisfield Lane. 1: There is insufficient parking space for residents cars, much less for visitors and delivery vehicles, both in the first leg of Catisfield Lane and in Samuel Mortimer Close, and 2: Fisher's Hill and Catisfield Lane from Highlands Road to the Fisher's Hill junction has become a "rat-run" to(in the morning) and from(in the evenings) Segensworth. Double yellow lines, as proposed,will only enable any dangerous parkers to be prosecuted. It must be said that up to the present there has been no such parking noted. ....but we are generally in favour of your proposals</p>	<p>This proposal is not intended to address concerns about through traffic. Modified proposals would go some way to addressing the rservations about the proposed yellow lines</p>
3	<p><b>Objection</b> - We are sending this e-mail to notify you of our strong objection to the painting of yellow lines in the Catisfield Lane area, as this will not resolve the pressing problem of parking and speed of traffic transitioning this Lane.</p>	<p>These proposals are not intended to address concerns about the speed of traffic</p>

4	<p><b>Support</b> – I agree with the provision of double yellow lines as shown, but this only partly resolves the traffic safety as it is a rat run</p>	<p>Support noted, and this proposal is not intended to address concerns about through traffic</p>
5	<p><b>Objection</b> - I wish to object to the above Order on the grounds that it is an unnecessary measure the need for which is not supported by conditions in Catisfield Lane. I would also query whether it fully meets the criteria in the Highway Authority's Traffic Management Policy. The proposed waiting restrictions cover lengths of road where parking does not commonly occur, and in some cases e.g. opposite the Old Post Office, where no vehicle has ever been seen to attempt to park.</p>	<p>Modified proposals address this point</p>
6	<p><b>Objection</b> - I write to object to the above Order on the grounds that whilst there is a traffic problem in Catisfield Lane the published order will do nothing to it and rather might well make it worse by creating the effect of the through way.</p>	<p>The proposals as modified should prevent future unwanted parking rather than remove parking that already exists</p>
7	<p><b>Support with reservations</b> - I welcome the plans as it should improve safety of all road users (vehicular and pedestrian) as they negotiate the junction of Fishers Hill with Catisfield Lane. I have previously contacted yourselves and the police when inconsiderate parking has caused road users to cross road lanes to continue their journeys either down Fishers Hill or into Catisfield Lane (south dead end).</p> <p>However, I do believe that you will receive many resident objections because this plan does not include a <u>residential parking scheme</u> for residents living on the south side of Catisfield Lane east of Samuel Mortimer Drive – they have no off-site parking of their own and rely on being able to park outside their homes – this area is currently being hijacked by residents and/or visitors to Samuel Mortimer Close</p>	<p>Comments noted, response also as at ref 1 above</p>
8	<p><b>No opinion expressed</b> – Would like markings to protect their own driveway when the TRO is introduced</p>	<p>Comments noted</p>

9	<p><b>Support</b> - I am writing in support of the proposed painting of yellow lines along Catisfield Lane, Fishers Hill and Samuel Mortimer Close. I live at xx, Catisfield Lane and strongly feel that these parking restrictions would greatly reduce the chance of an accident or damage to buildings that are close to the road.</p>	Comments noted
10	<p><b>Support</b> - My Partner and I own no xx Samuel Mortimer Close. We feel that the double yellow lines are essential for safety and traffic control on Catisfield Lane, it really has been a nightmare for the last 2 years and we really hope that this sorts out the issue. We are however concerned that the double yellow lines only come into our development for a few metres. The residents feel that the double yellows should continue further into the development to stop any parking and safety issues</p>	Comments noted
11	<p><b>Objection</b> - I don't believe the proposed no waiting lines address the residents' parking and safety concerns, with the possible exception of the line proposed for the south side of Catisfield Lane (where the police cones were previously located). I have not seen anyone attempt to park in the other three proposed lined areas, though there is occasional parking on the pavement outside the new cottages on the north side of Catisfield Lane. The scheme does not provide the promised residents-only parking for the cottages on the south side of Catisfield Lane.</p>	Comments noted, revised proposals would address this
12	<p><b>Objection</b> - I attended a meeting called by the Catisfield Village Association to discuss this proposal and the overwhelming opinion of the 30 or so attendees was that the proposal would do nothing to improve the traffic flow in Catisfield Lane and Fishers Hill. The main problem in Catisfield Lane is the volume and speed of traffic using Fishers Hill to access and come from the M27 via the Segensworth Business Park ,particularly at peak periods.</p>	This proposal is not intended to address concerns about through traffic
13	<p><b>Objection</b> - Please accept this email, regarding my apprehension of the proposal of yellow lines and parking restriction within my community. They would do nothing to address the real problems which I believe you have been made aware of by several other residents and this seems to be a cheap and nasty solution. It would</p>	This proposal is not intended to address concerns about through traffic

	not deal with the volume of traffic or the speed in which the road is travelled.	
14	<b>Objection</b> - Please accept this e-mail as my total outrage of your proposal to the painting of yellow lines, and no waiting restrictions in the Catisfield Lane area and Fisher's Hill. I have lived here for three years now, and not once has anyone parked or waited outside of my property. Your proposals are totally unwarranted and would do nothing to resolve the issue of speeding around my corner, and traffic congestion. I have monitored parking for the last two months as I am a member of the committee on the Catisifeld Village Association and it is seldom that a car is now parked where you are proposing the yellow lines should be placed, in Catisifeld Lane.	This proposal is not intended to address concerns about through traffic. Modified proposals would go some way to addressing the objections about the proposed yellow lines
15	<b>Objection</b> - Whilst we appreciate and acknowledge the benefit of preventing waiting/parking in these areas for the purposes of safety, we do have concerns that the restriction of areas to park may compound the significant parking problems experienced by our neighbours in the original cottages to the south side of Catisfield Lane (No.s 9 and 11-21, opposite the new development) who are not fortunate enough to have allocated parking.	Modified proposals would go some way to addressing the objections about the proposed yellow lines
16	<b>Objection</b> - Please accept this e-mail as notification of my extreme objection to the painting of yellow lines in the Catisfield Lane area. This is completely unwarranted, useless as it does not solve any of the issues the council have been made fully aware of relating to parking & traffic congestion. The use of yellow lines can and will only impact the already existing issues with parking (that have still not been addressed) they will certainly not assist in traffic calming or congestion.	This proposal is not intended to address concerns about through traffic. Modified proposals would go some way to addressing the objections about the proposed yellow lines